## **EXHIBIT 2**

r	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2	POOTUREN PIRITAL OF NEW TOLK
3	X
4	SOKOLOW, et al, 04-CV-397
5	Plaintiffs, : November 17, 2011
6	v. : 500 Pearl Street : New York, New York
7	PALESTINE LIBERATION ORGANIZATION, et al, :
8	Defendants. :
9	**
10	TRANSCRIPT OF CIVIL CAUSE FOR CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE RONALD L. ELLIS
11	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12	APPEARANCES:
13	
14	For the Plaintiff: ROBERT J. TOLCHIN, ESQ.  AARON SOLOMON, ESQ.
15	Berkman Law Office 111 Livingston Street
16	Brooklyn, NY 11201
17	For the Defendants:  BRIAN A. HILL, ESQ.  Miller & Chevalier, Chtd.  655 15th Street, North West #900
18	
19	Washington, DC 20005
20	
21	Court Transcriber: MARY GRECO
22	TypeWrite Word Processing Service 211 N. Milton Road
23	Saratoga Springs, NY 12866
24	
25	
	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service
	organout Fo Drogged at transmit transmi

that we sought the court, I'd just go ahead and memorialize that in an order.

The plaintiffs have asked that conditions be attached to the order, specifically that they only come once for depositions and Rule 35 exams. We're obviously willing to try and coordinate this as much as possible but we don't think that should be ordered because it would essentially give the plaintiffs a veto over the ability to proceed with the case in an orderly fashion and we're still waiting on a lot of material from the plaintiffs about their damages. So at this point I'm uncertain as to when depositions and Rule 35 exams are going to happen.

THE COURT: Okay. With respect to that, do you have a disagreement?

MR. TOLCHIN: There's a lot in there. We agree about coming to New York, we agree about that we would like them to only have to make one international flight for their deposition and examination. There are a few plaintiffs who it's not as big an issue for because they're much more local. It's one thing to fly in from Israel, it's another thing to come in from New Jersey. I would not stick to the you only have to make one trip obviously for people who are more local.

As to the damages information, we provided the defendants with medical records that we had but those were gathered several years ago. Aaron here has been methodically

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

attempting to communicate with each and every plaintiff which is easier said than done because quite a few of the plaintiffs have emotional difficulty dealing with this case because of the nature of the case. But we have pared down claims removing, you know, we've advised the defendant we've removed, for example, people who decided that, for example, lost wages claims are not worth pursuing for whatever reason. We are gathering information about what additional medical providers there may be that we didn't know about that we didn't have records from what was gathered several years ago. We are well in the process of obtaining from each of the plaintiffs medical record authorizations so that we could obtain those additional documents and we will be providing the defendant with authorizations so that they could obtain them as well whether by subpoena or just by request. That process is well under way.

So it depends on doctors' offices. I can't tell you we will have all the documents by X date because really it's documents from doctors' offices, but they do deal with -- doctors' offices do field requests for medical records all the time and it's not going to go on forever.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm not sure which point you were addressing there to tell you the truth. He was talking about the Rule 35 and the deposition. The damages information, you're talking about that as a prelude to the deposition?